EXHIBIT 1

30SS-COMPLA MENDED) - David Luis Perez and Donna Perez.PDF

1 Susie Injijian, Esq. (SBN 128311) INJIJIAN LAW OFFICE, APC 775 San Diego Road Berkeley, CA 94707 3 Telephone: (510) 898-1478 4 Fax: (510) 898-1107 Email: sinjijian@comcast.net 5 Attorneys for Defendant/Cross-complainant, 6 DAVID LUIS PEREZ and Cross-complainant 7 DONNA PEREZ 8 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 9 10 COUNTY OF ALAMEDA 11 12 GARY MOUNTAIN and SUSAN CASE NO.: RG 17857926 MOUNTAIN, 13 ASSIGNED FOR ALL PURPOSES TO 14 JUDGE ROBERT MCGUINNESS Plaintiffs. **DEPARTMENT 22** VS. 15 FIRST AMENDED CROSS-DP ELECTRIC, et al., 16 COMPLAINT FOR PERSONAL INJURIES, LOSS OF CONSORTIUM, 17 INDEMNIFICATION Defendants. APPORTIONMENT ÓF FAULT, AND DECLARATORY RELIEF 18 JURY TRIAL DEMANDED 19 DAVID LUIS PEREZ and DONNA 20 PEREZ, 21 Cross-Complainants, 22 VS. 23 CARSON MADRONA COMPANY, LLC; DIANE PREGERSON GLAZER, as 24 TRUSTEE OF THE DIANE 25PREGERSON GLAZER SURVIVOR'S 26 - 1 -CASE NO.: RG 17857926 FIRST AMENDED CROSS-COMPLAINT FOR PERSONAL INJURIES, LOSS OF CONSORTIUM, INDEMNIFICATION, APPORTIONMENT OF FAULT, AND DECLARATORY RELIEF

TRUST; DIANE PREGERSON GLAZER, as TRUSTEE OF THE DIANE PREGERSON GLAZER SURVIVOR'S TRUST dba SANOAK MANAGEMENT COMPANY; JAMES L. KRASNE, as TRUSTEE OF THE GUILFORD GLAZER TRUST OF 1984; JAMES L. KRASNE, as TRUSTEE OF THE GUILFORD GLAZER TRUST OF 1984 dba SANOAK MANAGEMENT COMPANY: JEREMY BLANCHARD; STONELEDGE FURNITURE, LLC: WAREHOUSE AND DELIVERY SOLUTIONS, INC. dba ASHLEY FURNITURE, ASHLEY FURNITURE INDUSTRIES, INC.; and DOES 51

through 100, inclusive,

Cross-Defendants.

13

2

3

4

อี

7

8

9

10

11

12

14 15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

26

27

Cross-complainants DAVID LUIS PEREZ and DONNA PEREZ, pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure §§ 428.10-428.80, allege against cross-defendants CARSON MADRONA COMPANY, LLC; DIANE PREGERSON GLAZER, as TRUSTEE OF THE DIANE PREGERSON GLAZER SURVIVOR'S TRUST; DIANE PREGERSON GLAZER, as TRUSTEE OF THE DIANE PREGERSON GLAZER SURVIVOR'S TRUST dba SANOAK MANAGEMENT COMPANY; JAMES L. KRASNE, as TRUSTEE OF THE GUILFORD GLAZER TRUST OF 1984; JAMES L. KRASNE, as TRUSTEE OF THE GUILFORD GLAZER TRUST OF 1984 dba SANOAK MANAGEMENT COMPANY; JEREMY BLANCHARD; STONELEDGE FURNITURE, LLC; WAREHOUSE AND DELIVERY SOLUTIONS, INC. dba

- 2

ASHLEY FURNITURE, ASHLEY FURNITURE INDUSTRIES, INC.; and DOES 51 through 100, inclusive, as follows:

GENERAL ALLEGATIONS

- 1. The true names and capacities of the cross-defendants, DOES 51-100, inclusive, whether individual, corporate, associate, or otherwise, are not known to cross-complainants, who therefore sue said cross-defendants by such fictitious names. Cross-complainants will seek to amend this Cross-complaint to state their true names and capacities when they are ascertained. Cross-complainants are informed and believe and thereon allege that each of the cross-defendants designated herein as DOE is legally responsible in some form or manner for the events and happenings herein described, and legally and proximately caused the injuries and damages to cross-complainants as herein alleged.
- 2. Cross-complainants are informed and believe that, at all times relevant herein, each cross-defendant was acting as the agent, servant, employee, alter ego or joint venturer of the remaining cross-defendants, and, in doing the things hereinafter mentioned, each cross-defendant was acting within the scope of his employment and authority as such agent, servant, employee, alter ego or joint venturer with the consent of his co-cross-defendants.
- 3. Cross-Complainants DAVID LUIS PEREZ and DONNA PEREZ are, and were at all times mentioned herein, husband and wife and citizens and residents of Alameda County, State of California. At all relevant times, cross-

- 3 -

CASE NO.: RG 17857926

 25

27 CASE NO.: RG 17857926

FIRST AMENDED CROSS-COMPLAINT FOR PERSONAL INJURIES, LOSS OF CONSORTIUM, INDEMNIFICATION, APPORTIONMENT OF FAULT, AND DECLARATORY RELIEF

complainant DAVID LUIS PEREZ is and was an electrical contractor duly licensed in California and performing services authorized thereby in Alameda County under the business name DP Electric.

- 4. At all times herein mentioned, cross-defendant CARSON MADRONA, LLC, was and is a business entity organized and existing under the laws of the State of California with its principal place of business in Beverly Hills, Los Angeles County, California, and was authorized to do business and doing business in Alameda County, California. This cross-defendant appeared in the principal action herein as "CARSON MADRONA COMPANY, LLC (erroneously served and sued as Guilford Glazer Trust of 1984 dba San Oak Management Company and the Guilford Glazer Trust of 1984)." This cross-defendant and any and all predecessors and successors in interest and assigns, are collectively referred to herein as "CARSON MADRONA."
- 5. Cross-defendant DIANE PREGERSON GLAZER is sued herein as TRUSTEE OF THE DIANE PREGERSON GLAZER SURVIVOR'S TRUST, a business entity organized and existing under the laws of the State of California with its principal place of business in Los Angeles County, California and authorized to do business and doing business in Alameda County, California. This cross-defendant appeared in the principal action herein as "THE DIANE PREGERSON GLAZER SURVIVOR'S TRUST (erroneously served and sued as THE DIANE PREGERSON GLAZER TRUST (originally served and sued as DOE

6.

9

10 11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23 24

25

26

27

27)." Cross-defendant DIANE PREGERSON GLAZER is also sued herein as TRUSTEE OF THE DIANE PREGERSON GLAZER SURVIVOR'S TRUST dba SANOAK MANAGEMENT COMPANY, a business entity organized and existing under the laws of the State of California with its principal place of business in Los Angeles County, California and authorized to do business and doing business in Alameda County, California.

Cross-defendant JAMES L. KRASNE is sued herein as TRUSTEE OF THE GUILFORD GLAZER TRUST OF 1984, a business entity organized and existing under the laws of the State of California with its principal place of business in Los Angeles County, California and authorized to do business and doing business in Alameda County, California. Cross-defendant JAMES L. KRASNE is also sued herein as TRUSTEE OF THE GUILFORD GLAZER TRUST OF 1984 dba SANOAK MANAGEMENT COMPANY a business entity organized and existing under the laws of the State of California with its principal place of business in Los Angeles County, California and authorized to do business and doing business in Alameda County, California. Cross-defendant DIANE PREGERSON GLAZER as TRUSTEE OF THE DIANE PREGERSON GLAZER SURVIVOR'S TRUST dba SANOAK MANAGEMENT COMPANY and cross-defendant JAMES L. KRASNE as TRUSTEE OF THE GUILFORD GLAZER TRUST OF 1984 dba SANOAK MANAGEMENT COMPANY, and any and all successors and predecessors in

- 5 -

CASE NO.: RG 17857926

MANAGEMENT.

7. Cross-complainants allege on information and belief that cross-defendant JEREMY BLANCHARD is and was at all times herein mentioned an individual and a citizen and resident of San Mateo County, State of California, and managing agent and employee of cross-defendants SANOAK MANAGEMENT and/or CARSON MADRONA. This cross-defendant appeared in the principal action herein as "JEREMY BLANCHARD (originally served and sued as DOE 31)."

interest and assigns, are collectively referred to herein as SANOAK

8. At all times herein mentioned, cross-defendant WAREHOUSE AND DELIVERY SOLUTIONS, INC. dba ASHLEY FURNITURE was a business entity organized and existing under the laws of the State of California with its principal place of business in Vista, San Diego County, California. Cross-defendant ASHLEY FURNITURE INDUSTRIES, INC. was and is a business entity organized and existing under the laws of the State of Wisconsin with its principal place of business in Arcadia, Trempealeau County, Wisconsin. Cross-defendant ASHLEY FURNITURE INDUSTRIES, INC. appeared in the principal action herein as "ASHLEY FURNITURE INDUSTRIES, INC. (erroneously served and sued as "Ashley, Furniture Inc"). Cross-complainants allege on information and belief that these defendants were at all times herein authorized to do business and were doing business in Alameda County, State of California. Cross-defendants WAREHOUSE AND DELIVERY SOLUTIONS, INC. dba ASHLEY FURNITURE, and cross-

CASE NO.: RG 17857926

CASE NO.: RG 17857926

FIRST AMENDED CROSS-COMPLAINT FOR PERSONAL INJURIES, LOSS OF CONSORTIUM, INDEMNIFICATION, APPORTIONMENT OF FAULT, AND DECLARATORY RELIEF

- 7 -

defendant ASHLEY FURNITURE INDUSTRIES, INC., and any and all predecessors and successors in interest and assigns, are collectively referred to herein as "ASHLEY FURNITURE."

- 9. At all times herein mentioned, cross-defendant STONELEDGE
 FURNITUTRE, LLC was and is a business entity organized and existing under the laws of the State of Wisconsin with its principal place of business in Arcadia,
 Trempealeau County, Wisconsin, and was authorized to do business and doing business in Alameda County, State of California. This cross-defendant has appeared in the principal action herein. This cross-defendant, and any and all predecessors and successors in interest and assigns, are collectively referred to herein as "STONELEDGE FURNITURE."
- 10. Venue is proper in Alameda County because the incident that gave rise to the action by and against the cross-complainants occurred in that county.
- at all times herein mentioned, certain of the cross-defendant DOES are the successors in interest of one or more of the remaining cross-defendants and, on that basis, are liable for any act or omission of said cross-defendants alleged in this Cross-complaint.
- 12. The events described herein occurred on or about May 17, 2016, at certain premises being operated as a warehouse located at 6195 Coliseum Way in Oakland, California ("the Premises").

ō

CASE NO.: RG 17857926

FIRST AMENDED CROSS-COMPLAINT FOR PERSONAL INJURIES, LOSS OF CONSORTIUM, INDEMNIFICATION, APPORTIONMENT OF FAULT, AND DECLARATORY RELIEF

- 13. Cross-complainants are informed and believe and thereon allege that, at the time of the incident, cross-defendant CARSON MADRONA was the owner of the Premises, cross-defendants CARSON MADRONA and/or SANOAK MANAGEMENT and JEREMY BLANCHARD occupied a portion of the premises for the purpose of serving as the on-site property manager, and cross-defendants WAREHOUSE AND DELIVERY SOLUTIONS, INC. dba ASHLEY FURNITURE and/or ASHLEY FURNITURE INDUSTRIES, INC. and/or STONELEDGE FURNITURE, LLC were a tenant occupying a portion of the premises pursuant to a written lease agreement.
- 14. Cross-complainants allege on information and belief that the written lease dated January 17, 2012 was initially between cross-defendant CARSON MADRONA as lessor and cross-defendant WAREHOUSE AND DELIVERY SOLUTIONS, INC. dba ASHLEY FURNITURE as lessee, and that by written assignment and assumption of lease dated April 5, 2016, cross-defendant WAREHOUSE AND DELIVERY SOLUTIONS, INC. dba ASHLEY FURNITURE, assigned its interest in said lease to cross-defendant STONELEDGE FURTNITURE, LLC.
- 15. On the day of the incident that gives rise to this Cross-complaint, cross-complainant DAVID LUIS PEREZ received a telephone call from an

individual calling on behalf of cross-defendant ASHLEY FURNITURE and/or the

other cross-defendants occupying a portion of the Premises, requesting a service call

to repair certain problems with electrical lighting at the Premises. DAVID LUIS

PEREZ arrived at the subject Premises shortly thereafter that same day to perform the requested repairs.

16. Cross-complainants are informed and believe and thereon allege that, unbeknownst to DAVID LUIS PEREZ, and not readily discoverable by him, the subject Premises and the electrical installations and equipment thereon were in a dangerous, unworkmanlike condition in that, among other things, they were not in compliance with state laws and local ordinances, were improperly installed an constructed, were improperly situated and labeled or not labeled at all, were in disrepair and so negligently and carelessly owned, controlled, maintained and, that while cross-complainant DAVID LUIS PEREZ worked to replace a fuse in a careful manner, having taken all usual and necessary precautions, the electrical installation on which he was working exploded, causing an arc flash, severely burning him and causing injuries and damages alleged herein

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

(By DAVID LUIS PEREZ for NEGLIGENCE - Premises Liability)

- 17. Cross-complainants incorporate by reference each of the Paragraphs 1 through 16 as though fully set forth herein.
- 18. At all times mentioned herein, cross-defendants, and each of them, designed, constructed, approved, owned, controlled, possessed, modified, managed, and/or maintained the Premises and the surrounding area.

- 9 -

CASE NO.: RG 17857926

19. Cross-defendants, and each of them, had a duty to control and maintain said Premises in a reasonably safe condition.

- 20. Cross-defendants, and each of them, breached this duty by negligently designing, constructing, approving, owning, controlling, possessing, creating, modifying, managing, and/or maintaining the electrical distribution system and equipment in an unworkmanlike manner, such that unreasonable hazards existed on the Premises. Cross-defendants, and each of them, (1) knew or should have known of the electrical distribution system in the Premises to be prone to unexpected arcing even when normal precautions are taken, and the extraordinary and hidden dangers it presented for causing electrical injury and (2) failed to take adequate measures to correct, warn of, or identify the hazards that existed and that they created.
- 21. The negligence and other wrongful conduct of said cross-defendants, as herein described, was a legal cause of, and a substantial contributing factor in, the injuries and damages to DAVID LUIS PEREZ as alleged herein.
- 22. By reason of the premises, plaintiff DAVID LUIS PEREZ has suffered general damages, continues to suffer same, and alleges upon information and belief that he will suffer such damages in the future, including but not limited to: permanent physical injuries, including second and third degree burns, requiring emergency care, hospitalizations, surgeries and other medical interventions and therapies, pain, suffering, disability, disfigurement, scarring, psychological and

CASE NO.: RG 17857926

FIRST AMENDED CROSS-COMPLAINT FOR PERSONAL INJURIES, LOSS OF CONSORTIUM, INDEMNIFICATION, APPORTIONMENT OF FAULT, AND DECLARATORY RELIEF

- 10 -

 emotional distress, shock, fear, humiliation, anguish, inconvenience, and the like, all in an amount to be proven at trial.

23. By further reason of the premises, DAVID LUIS PEREZ has suffered special damages, continues to suffer same, and alleges upon information and belief that he will suffer such damages in the future, including but not limited to: medical and related economic expenses, loss of income and diminished earning capacity, loss of the ability to provide household services, and other special damages, all in an amount to be proven at trial.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

(by DAVID LUIS PEREZ for NEGLIGENCE - Negligence Per Se)

- 24. Cross-complainants incorporate by reference each of the Paragraphs 1 through 23 as though fully set forth herein.
- 25. Cross-complainants allege on information and belief that, at all times herein mentioned, cross-defendants, and each of them, violated statutes and ordinances in the course of installing, inspecting, repairing, modifying and maintaining and failing properly to maintain the electrical power distribution system and equipment at the Premises.
- 26. These statutes and ordinances were designed to protect against the very injuries and damages that cross-complainant DAVID LUIS PEREZ suffered at the Premises on May 17, 2016.

- 11 -

CASE NO.: RG 17857926

 27. As a direct and legal result of the breach of said duties of crossdefendants, and each of them, to comply with these statutes and ordinances, crosscomplainant DAVID LUIS PEREZ was exposed to unreasonable hazards, and the
negligence and other wrongful conduct of said cross-defendants, as herein described,
was a legal cause of, and a substantial contributing factor in, the injuries and
damages to DAVID LUIS PEREZ as alleged herein.

- 28. By reason of the premises, plaintiff DAVID LUIS PEREZ has suffered general damages, continues to suffer same, and alleges upon information and belief that he will suffer such damages in the future, including but not limited to: permanent physical injuries, including second and third degree burns, requiring emergency care, hospitalizations, surgeries and other medical interventions and therapies, pain, suffering, disability, disfigurement, scarring, psychological and emotional distress, shock, fear, humiliation, anguish, inconvenience, and the like, all in an amount to be proven at trial.
- 29. By further reason of the premises, DAVID LUIS PEREZ has suffered special damages, continues to suffer same, and alleges upon information and belief that he will suffer such damages in the future, including but not limited to: medical and related economic expenses, loss of income and diminished earning capacity, loss of the ability to provide household services, and other special damages, all in an amount to be proven at trial.

- 12 -

CASE NO.: RG 17857926

THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION

(by DAVID LUIS PEREZ for NEGLIGENCE - Negligent Hiring and Retention)

- 30. Cross-complainants incorporate by reference each of the Paragraphs 1 through 29 as though fully set forth herein.
- 31. Prior to May 17, 2016, cross-defendants, and each of them, had the authority to hire, retain and entrust employees, agents, independent contractors, and others to install, construct, inspect, repair, modify, and maintain the electrical power distribution system and equipment at the Premises, as well to suspend and terminate employees, agents, contractors and others to whom said cross-defendants had entrusted such work, and who were found after hiring to be incompetent or unfit for that purpose.
- 32. At all times herein mentioned, cross-defendants, and each of them, knew or reasonably should have known that the employees, agents, independent contractors, and others they hired to perform such services at the Premises were incompetent or unfit, and likely to harm other persons or property in the performance of work entrusted to them, and/or failed to exercise reasonable care to discover whether said employees and others were unfit or incompetent to perform said work.
- 33. Cross-complainants allege on information and belief that crossdefendants, and each of them, nevertheless hired employees, agents, independent

- 13 -

CASE NO.: RG 17857926

CASE NO.: RG 17857926

contractors, and others to perform such services at the Premises who were incompetent or unfit and likely to harm other persons or property in the performance of work entrusted to them, and/or failed to exercise the authority they had to suspend and terminate such agents and employees who were incompetent or unfit after they had discovered, or reasonably should have discovered, that said agents and employees were incompetent or unfit and likely to harm other persons or property performing the work entrusted to them.

- 34. Because of their incompetence or unfitness, said agents and employees of cross-defendants, and each of them, while performing the work or activity of their employment and/or utilizing the instrumentalities entrusted to them, caused cross-complainant DAVID LUIS PEREZ to suffer injuries and damages herein alleged.
- 35. By reason of the premises, plaintiff DAVID LUIS PEREZ has suffered general damages, continues to suffer same, and alleges upon information and belief that he will suffer such damages in the future, including but not limited to: permanent physical injuries, including second and third degree burns, requiring emergency care, hospitalizations, surgeries and other medical interventions and therapies, pain, suffering, disability, disfigurement, scarring, psychological and emotional distress, shock, fear, humiliation, anguish, inconvenience, and the like, all in an amount to be proven at trial.
- 36. By further reason of the premises, DAVID LUIS PEREZ has suffered special damages, continues to suffer same, and alleges upon information and belief

6 7

8

10

12

13

11

14

15 16

17

18 19

20

21

22 23

24

2526

27

that he will suffer such damages in the future, including but not limited to: medical and related economic expenses, loss of income and diminished earning capacity, loss of the ability to provide household services, and other special damages, all in an amount to be proven at trial.

FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(by DAVID LUIS PEREZ for NEGLIGENCE - General Negligence)

- 37. Cross-complainants incorporate by reference each of the Paragraphs 1 through 36 as though fully set forth herein.
- 38. At the time of the incident, cross-defendants, and each of them, owed a duty to cross-complainant DAVID LUIS PEREZ to not unreasonably increase the risk of harm at the Premises, and a further duty to provide adequate warning of any hazardous conditions on the subject Premises of which they had actual and/or constructive notice.
- 39. Cross-defendants, and each of them, breached their duty in that said cross-defendants, among other things, designed, constructed, approved, owned, controlled, created, possessed, modified, managed, maintained, repaired and/or failed to repair, and inspected and/or failed to inspect the electrical power distribution system and equipment at the Premises, such that, on or about May 17, 2016, the equipment on which cross-complainant DAVID LUIS PEREZ was working exploded and severely injured him.

- 15 -

CASE NO.: RG 17857926

CASE NO.: RG 17857926

FIRST AMENDED CROSS-COMPLAINT FOR PERSONAL INJURIES, LOSS OF CONSORTIUM, INDEMNIFICATION, APPORTIONMENT OF FAULT, AND DECLARATORY RELIEF

- 16 -

- 40. Cross-defendants, and each of them, had actual or constructive notice of these hazards and a reasonable opportunity to correct them.
- 41. By reason of the premises, plaintiff DAVID LUIS PEREZ has suffered general damages, continues to suffer same, and alleges upon information and belief that he will suffer such damages in the future, including but not limited to: permanent physical injuries, including second and third degree burns, requiring emergency care, hospitalizations, surgeries and other medical interventions and therapies, pain, suffering, disability, disfigurement, scarring, psychological and emotional distress, shock, fear, humiliation, anguish, inconvenience, and the like, all in an amount to be proven at trial.
- 42. By further reason of the premises, DAVID LUIS PEREZ has suffered special damages, continues to suffer same, and alleges upon information and belief that he will suffer such damages in the future, including but not limited to: medical and related economic expenses, loss of income and diminished earning capacity, loss of the ability to provide household services, and other special damages, all in an amount to be proven at trial.

FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(by DONNA PEREZ for Loss of Consortium)

43. Cross-complainants incorporate by reference each of the Paragraphs 1 through 42 as though fully set forth herein.

Because of the harm they caused to cross-complainant DAVID LUIS

44.

 PEREZ as set forth above, cross-defendants, and each of them, are liable in tort for the injury to cross-complainant DONNA PEREZ who suffered a loss of consortium as a result of the injuries to her spouse, cross-complainant DAVID LUIS PEREZ.

- 45. Cross-complainant DONNA PEREZ was married to cross-complainant DAVID LUIS PEREZ was married prior to May 17, 2016. At all times mentioned herein they were, and still are, husband and wife.
- 46. As a direct, proximate and legal result of the negligence of the cross-defendants, and each of them, and the physical injuries that resulted proximately and legally therefrom, Cross-complainant DONNA PEREZ has suffered a loss of consortium which included and includes the mental anguish of watching her husband suffer from his injuries, ongoing disabilities, and emotional distress, and she has further been caused to and has rendered care, assistance and services for the attendance of her spouse, cross-complainant DAVID LUIS PEREZ.
- 47. Prior to suffering electrical burns and related injuries on May 17, 2016, cross-complainant DAVID LUIS PEREZ was able to and did perform his duties as a husband. Subsequent to the injuries he sustained on that date as herein alleged, and as a proximate result thereof, DAVID LUIS PEREZ has been prevented by his injuries from performing his duties as a husband to cross-complainant DONNA PEREZ.

- 17 -

CASE NO.: RG 17857926

48. As a further, direct and proximate result of the negligence of the cross-defendants, cross-complainant DONNA PEREZ has been deprived of the love, society and companionship of her husband, DAVID LUIS PEREZ, by reason of his injuries and continuing disabilities as alleged herein, and will continue to suffer the same into the future, all to her general damage in an amount in excess of the jurisdictional minimum of this Court.

SIXTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(by DAVID LUIS PEREZ for INDEMNIFICATION)

- 49. Cross-complainants incorporate by reference each of the Paragraphs 1 through 48 as though fully set forth herein.
- 50. The principal action, Gary Mountain, et al. v. DP Electric, et al., alleges that the plaintiff Gary Mountain was injured on or about May 17, 2016 in the same incident described in this cross-complaint as having injured cross-complainant DAVID LUIS PEREZ. The plaintiffs allege, among other things, that plaintiffs are entitled to compensatory damages against cross-complainant DAVID LUIS PEREZ individually and doing business as DP Electric. Cross-complainant DAVID LUIS PEREZ contends that he is not liable for the events and occurrences described in plaintiffs' complaint.
- 51. If cross-complainant DAVID LUIS PEREZ is found in some manner responsible to plaintiffs or to anyone else as a result of the incidents and occurrences described in plaintiffs' complaint, his liability would be based solely

- 18 -

5 6

8

9

7

10 11

12

13

14

16

15

17

18 19

20

21

22

23 24

25 26

27

upon a derivative form of liability not resulting from his own conduct but only from an obligation imposed upon cross-complainant DAVID LUIS PEREZ by law; therefore, he would be entitled to complete indemnity from each cross-defendant.

SEVENTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(by DAVID LUIS PEREZ for APPORTIONMENT OF FAULT)

- 52. Cross-complainants incorporate by reference each of the Paragraphs 1 through 51 as though fully set forth herein.
- 53. Each cross-defendant was responsible, in whole or in part, for the injuries if any, suffered by plaintiffs as alleged in the principal action. Cross-complainant DAVID LUIS PEREZ contends that he is not liable for the events and occurrences described in plaintiffs' complaint.
- 54. If cross-complainant DAVID LUIS PEREZ is somehow judged to be liable to plaintiffs, each cross-defendant should be required: (1) to pay a share of plaintiffs' judgment which is in proportion to the comparative negligence of that cross-defendant in causing plaintiff's damages; and (2) to reimburse cross-complainant DAVID LUIS PEREZ for any payments he makes in excess of his proportional share, if any, of all cross-defendants' negligence.

EIGHTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(by DAVID LUIS PEREZ for DECLARATORY RELIEF)

55. Cross-complainants incorporate by reference each of the Paragraphs 1 through 54 as though fully set forth herein.

- 19 -

CASE NO.: RG 17857926

11 12

13 14

15

16 17

18

19 20

21

22

23 24

25

26 27 56. An actual controversy exists between the parties concerning their respective rights and duties because cross-complainant DAVID LUIS PEREZ contends and cross-defendants dispute that cross-defendants caused and/or contributed to any and all injuries and damages alleged to have been sustained by plaintiffs in the principal action. Cross-complainant therefore seeks a declaration of his rights to indemnification, contribution and/or apportionment.

57. If recovery is had against cross-complainant DAVID LUIS PEREZ, cross-complainant is entitled to an Order of Declaratory Relief, setting forth his right to recover from cross-defendants.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, cross-complainants pray judgment against cross-defendants, and each of them, as follows:

- Total and complete indemnity for any judgment rendered against crosscomplainant DAVID LUIS PEREZ;
- 2. Judgment in a proportionate share from each cross-defendant;
- 3. A judicial determination that cross-defendants were the legal cause of any injuries and damages sustained by plaintiffs in the principal action, and that cross-defendants indemnify cross-complainant DAVID LUIS PEREZ, either completely or partially, for any sums of money which may be recovered against cross-complainant DAVID LUIS PEREZ by plaintiffs;
- 4. General damages to cross-complainants according to proof;

- 20 -

CASE NO.: RG 17857926

- Special damages for medical and related expenses, loss of earnings, loss of earning capacity, and loss of the ability to provide household services in amounts according to proof;
- 6. Interest as allowed by law;
- 7. Costs of suit and attorney fees as allowed by law;
- 8. That this matter be tried before a jury;
- Any other damages to which cross-complainants may be entitled under applicable laws.

DATED: May / 2018

INJIJIAN LAW OFFICE, APC

Susie Injijian (

Attorneys for Cross-complainants

DAVID LUIS PEREZ and

DONNA PEREZ

CASE NO.: RG 17857926

FIRST AMENDED CROSS-COMPLAINT FOR PERSONAL INJURIES, LOSS OF CONSORTIUM, INDEMNIFICATION, APPORTIONMENT OF FAULT, AND DECLARATORY RELIEF

- 21 -

2

3

5

6

7

9

10 11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24 25

26

27

PROOF OF SERVICE

I am an active member of the State Bar of California and am not a party to this action. My business address is 775 San Diego Road, Berkeley, California 94707.

On this date I served the following documents:

x by placing a true copy thereof enclosed in a sealed envelope with U.S. Mail postage fully prepaid in the United States mail at Berkeley, California, addressed as shown below.

_ by facsimile to the facsimile number(s) shown below.

_ by causing a true copy thereof enclosed in a sealed envelope to be hand delivered.

_ by causing a true copy thereof enclosed in a sealed envelope to be delivered by FEDEX.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed at Berkeley, California on May 16, 2018.

Susie Injijian

See attached list.

- 22 -

CASE NO.: RG 17857926

T (AMENDED) - David Luis Perez and Donna Perez.PDF

1 James Otto Heiting, Esq. Counsel for Plaintiffs, (contact@heitingandirwin.com) Gary Mountain and Susan Mountain 2 HEITNG & IRWIN 5885 Brockton Avenue 3 Riverside, CA 92506 4 Tel: (951) 682-6400 Fax: (951) 682-4072 5 6 David L. Barch, Esq. Counsel for Defendant/Cross-7 (david.barch@libertymutual.com) Complainant. Law Offices of Santana and Vierra Carson Madrona Company, LLC (served 8 71 Stevenson Street, Suite 799 and sued as Guilford Glazer Trust of San Francisco, CA 94105 1984 dba San Oak Management 9 Tel: (415) 777-1308 Company and the Guilford Glazer Trust 10 Fax: (603) 430-0513 of 1984), and for Defendants The Diane Pregerson Glazer Survivor's Trust 11 (served and sued as The Diane Pregerson Glazer Trust) and Jeremy 12 Blanchard 13 14 Christopher J. Nevis, Esq. Counsel for Defendant/Cross-(christopher.nevis@lewisbrisbois.com) Defendants, Stoneledge Furniture, LLC: 15 Nicole L. Jones, Esq. Ashley Furniture Industries, Inc. 16 (nicole.jones@lewisbrisbois.com) (served and sued as Ashley Furniture, LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & Inc.), and Carson Madrona, LLC 17 SMITH LLP 333 Bush Street, Suite 1100 18 San Francisco, CA 94104-2580 19 Tel: (415) 362-2580 Fax: (415) 434-0882 20 21 Anna J. Monteleone, Esq. Counsel for Lien Claimant, 22 (amonteleone@sullivanattorneys.com) Hartford Insurance Company Michael Sullivan & Associates 23 PO Box 85059 San Diego, CA 92186-5059 24 Tel: (714) 202-3440 25 Fax: (714) 202-3444 26 - 23 -

CASE NO.: RG 17857926

27